

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address CoMMISSI STER (FPATENTS AND TEADEMARKS Washington DC 2023) www.uspto.com

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/652,430	08/31/2000	Biswajit Sur	884.319US1	,3797
21186 75	90 02 03 2003			
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLI			HUYNH, ANDY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2818	

DATE MAILED: 02/03/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/652,430	SUR ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andy Huynh	2818				
The MAILING DATE of this commu Period for Reply	unication appears on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU - Extensions of time may be available under the provisio after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this cor - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply and any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 704(b). Status	NICATION. Ins of 37 CFR 1 136(a). In no event, however, may a munication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of the statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC ply will, by statute, cause the application to become A	a reply be timely filed output outpu				
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on 16 December 2002.					
2a) This action is FINAL .	2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)	nding in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊡ Claim(s) <u>1-23 and 31-59</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to rest	riction and/or election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by t	he Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 August 2000</u> is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	bjection to the drawing(s) be held in abe					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priorit	y documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priorit	y documents have been received in a	Application No				
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
	·					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)	· ·					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)	(PTO-948) 5) Notice o	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/652,430

Art Unit: 2818

DETAILED ACTION

1. In Amendment B dated December 16, 2002, in Paper No. 8, Applicants have made several amendments to the specification is acknowledged. Claims 1-23 and 31-59 are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

2. Claims 1-3, 8-10, 13-14, 17-23, 45, 50 and 55-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Daves et al. (USP: 6,091,603), Applicants' submitted prior art.

Regarding claim 1. Daves et al. disclose in Fig. 2 an assembly comprising:

a die 600 having a surface;

an adhesion layer 200 coupled to the surface;

a solder-wettable layer 104 coupled to the adhesion layer;

a lid 101; and

a solderable thermally conductive element 103 to couple the lid to the solder-wettable layer (column 4, line 16-column 6, line 18).

Art Unit: 2818

Regarding claim 2. Daves et al. disclose the assembly recited in claim 1 wherein the lid comprises material from the group consisting of copper and aluminum-silicon-carbide as claimed in claim 2 (col. 4, line 23).

Regarding claims **3**, **13**, **19**, **22**, **50** and **56**. Daves et al. disclose the assembly recited in claims 1 and 55, the integrated circuit package recited in claim 8, the electronic assembly recited in claim 17 and the electronic system recited in claim 21 wherein the solderable thermally conductive element comprises material, including one or more alloys, from the group consisting of tin, bismuth, silver, indium, and lead (col. 5, lines 7-9).

Regarding claim **8**, Daves et al. disclose in Fig. 2 an integrated circuit package comprising:

a substrate 500;

a die 600 positioned on a surface of the substrate, the die having a back surface:

an adhesion layer 200 formed on the back surface;

a solder-wettable layer 104 formed on the adhesion layer;

a lid 101 positioned over the die: and

a solderable thermally conductive element 103 coupling the solder-wettable layer and the lid (column 4, line 16-column 6, line 18).

Regarding claims **9 and 18**, Daves et al. disclose in Fig. 2 the integrated circuit package recited in claim 8 and the electronic assembly recited in claim 17 wherein the lid comprises a support member 102 coupled to the substrate.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/652.430

Art Unit: 2818

Regarding claim 10, Daves et al. disclose the integrated circuit package recited in claim 8 wherein the lid comprises material from the group consisting of copper and aluminum-siliconcarbide (col. 4, lines 17-24).

Regarding claims **14 and 20**, Daves et al. disclose in Fig. 2 the integrated circuit package recited in claim 8 and the electronic assembly recited in claim 17 wherein the substrate is an organic substrate 500 and wherein the die is coupled to the substrate through a land grid array 650 (col. 6, lines 1-14).

Regarding claim 17, Daves et al. disclose an electronic assembly (col. 1, lines 65-66) comprising:

at least one integrated circuit package (col. 1, line 66) in Fig. 2 comprising:

a substrate 500;

a die 600 positioned on a surface of the substrate, the die having a back surface an adhesion layer 200 formed on the back surface;

a solder-wettable layer 104 formed on the adhesion layer;

a lid 101 positioned over the die; and

a solderable thermally conductive element 103 coupling the solder-wettable layer and the lid (column 4, line 16-column 6, line 18).

Regarding claim **21**, Daves et al. disclose an electronic system comprising an electronic assembly (col. 1, lines 65-66) having at least one integrated circuit package (col. 1, line 66) in Fig. 2 comprising:

a substrate 500;

a die 600 positioned on a surface of the substrate, the die having a back surface;

Application/Control Number: 09/652.430

Art Unit: 2818

an adhesion layer 200 formed on the back surface;

a solder-wettable layer 104 formed on the adhesion layer;

a lid 101 positioned over the die; and

a solderable thermally conductive element 103 coupling the solder-wettable layer and the lid (column 4, line 16-column 6, line 18).

Regarding claim **23**, Daves et al. disclose the electronic system recited in claim 21 wherein the substrate is an organic substrate 500, wherein the die is coupled to the substrate through a land grid array 650 (col. 6, lines 1-14), and wherein the lid comprises a support member 102 coupled to the substrate (Fig. 2).

Regarding claim 45. Daves et al. disclose in Fig. 2 an assembly comprising:

a die having a surface 600;

an adhesion layer 200 formed on the surface; and

a solder-wettable layer 104 formed on the adhesion layer to receive a solderable thermally conductive element 103 (column 4, line 16-column 6, line 18).

Regarding claim 55. Daves et al. disclose in Fig. 2 an assembly comprising:

a die having a surface 600:

an adhesion layer 200 coupled to the surface;

a solder-wettable layer 104 coupled to the adhesion layer;

a lid 101; and

a thermal interface of solder material 103 to couple the lid to the solder-wettable layer (column 4, line 16-column 6, line 18).

Art Unit: 2818

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 6

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims **4-5 and 11-12** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Daves et al. (USP: 6,091,603), Applicants' submitted prior art, in view of Patel (USP: 5,396,403).

Regarding claims **4**, **11**, Daves et al. disclose the claimed invention except for the assembly recited in claims 1 and 8 wherein the lid comprises at least one metal or organic layer to which the thermally conductive element can be coupled. However, Patel teaches in Fig. 1 that the thermal paste comprising metal or the like is used as the second thermal interface 25 between the thermally conductive plate 19 and the heat sink 23 (col. 4, line 42 –col. 5, line 8). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to form the lid comprises at least one metal such as the thermal paste comprising metal or organic layer to which the thermally conductive element can be coupled, as taught by Patel in order to conduct the heat from the plate as the thermally conductive element to the lid as the heat sink (col. 3, lines 29-31).

Regarding claims **5 and 12**, Daves et al. and Patel disclose the claimed invention except for the assembly recited in claims 4 and 11 wherein the at least one metal or organic layer comprises nickel or gold. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to utilize nickel or gold to form the at least one metal or

Page 7

Art Unit: 2818

organic layer, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Claims 6-7, 15-16, 31-44, 46-49, 51-54, and 57-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as 4. being unpatentable over Daves et al. (USP: 6,091,603), Applicants' submitted prior art.

Regarding claims 6-7, 15-16, 41, 43 and 52, Daves et al. disclose the claimed invention except for the assembly recited in claims 1 and 45, the electronic assembly recited in claim 17, and the electronic system recited in claim 21 and further comprising: a diffusion layer between the adhesion layer and the solder-wettable layer. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to form a diffusion layer between the adhesion layer and the solder-wettable layer, since applicant has disclosed in the specification, page 7, lines 29-30 that the diffusion layer is not necessarily required, depending upon the composition of the adhesion layer and it appears that the invention would perform equally well without the diffusion layer.

Regarding claims 31-33, 40, 51, and 57-59. Daves et al. disclose the claimed invention except for the assembly recited in claims 1, 45, and 55 and the integrated circuit package recited in claim 8 wherein the solderable thermally conductive element has a liquidus temperature of 150 degrees Centigrade or less; or a liquidus temperature of 140 degrees Centigrade or less; or a liquidus temperature in the range of 138 to 157 degrees Centigrade. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to obtain the solderable thermally conductive element has a liquidus temperature as stated above, since it was known in the art that the solderable thermally conductive element having a liquidus temperature in the

Application/Control Number: 09/652,430

Art Unit: 2818

range of 138 to 157 degrees Centigrade is commercially available from Indium Corporation of America, Utica, NY (Specification, page 8, lines 17-19).

Regarding claims 34-39, 42, 44, 46-49 and 53-54. Daves et al. disclose the claimed invention except for the assembly recited in claims 1, 6, 45 and 52, the electronic assembly recited in claims 41 and 43 wherein the adhesion layer or the solder-wettable layer or the diffusion layer or the layers comprises material, including one or more alloys, from the group consisting of titantium, chromium, zirconium, nickel, vanadium, and gold; wherein the adhesion layer comprises titantium; wherein the solder-wettable layer comprises one of nickel and gold; and the diffusion layer comprises nickel-vanadium. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to form the adhesion layer or the solder-wettable layer comprises material, including one or more alloys, from the group consisting of titantium, chromium, zirconium, nickel, vanadium, and gold, the solder-wettable layer comprises one of nickel and gold, and the diffusion layer comprises nickel-vanadium, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicants' arguments filed December 16, 2002, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that Daves fails to disclose "an adhesion layer formed on the back surface" of the die recited in claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 09/652,430

Art Unit: 2818

The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Daves discloses in Figure 2 that a thermally conductive compliant material 200, which considered as an adhesion layer, formed on the back of IC chip 600," (column 5, lines 25-28).

Also, Applicants argue that the term "adhesion layer" is described in Applicants' written description (e.g. on page 7, beginning line 20) as a metal that adheres well to silicon, silicon oxide, or silicon nitride, such as titanium (Ti), chromium (Cr), vanadium (V), or zirconium (Zr).

The Examiner respectfully agrees that Applicants argue that the term "adhesion layer" is described in Applicants' written description as a metal. However, "an adhesion layer" is not recited as a metal in claims 1, 8, 17, 21, 45 and 55.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/652,430
Art Unit: 2818

Page 10

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andy Huynh whose telephone number is (703) 305-0089. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (703) 308-4910. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

АН

ia de Matan Laborya, n. P. P. Hari Exalinino Lapora engo santa 2806